



City Research Online

City St George's, University of London

Citation: Simpson, A. & Reynolds, L. M. (2008). Consulting the Experts. OpenMind, 152, pp. 18-19.

This is the accepted version of the paper.

This version of the publication may differ from the final published version. To cite this item please consult the publisher's version.

Permanent repository link: <https://city-ref-test.eprints-hosting.org/id/eprint/7253/>

Copyright and Reuse: Copyright and Moral Rights remain with the author(s) and/or copyright holders. Copies of full items can be used for personal research or study, educational, or not-for-profit purposes without prior permission or charge, unless otherwise indicated, provided that the authors, title and full bibliographic details are credited, a hyperlink and/or URL is given for the original metadata page and the content is not changed in any way. For full details of reuse please refer to [City Research Online policy](#).

Consulting the Experts

Alan Simpson & Lisa Reynolds

Alan Simpson and Lisa Reynolds outline how mental health nursing students learned about users' experiences through an innovative e-learning project

words/ 1,345

Contact details:

Alan Simpson
City Community and Health Sciences
City University
Philpot Street
London E1 2EA

Tel: 020 7040 5937

A.Simpson@City.ac.uk

Consulting the Experts

It is always a challenge trying to come up with ways to encourage mental health nursing students to think about what it is like to be a mental health service user.

How do you get them to try and understand what it is like to have a mental health problem or to be admitted to a psychiatric hospital? And more importantly, how do you get those students to reflect on their own attitudes and behaviour and encourage them to have positive, constructive relationships with users?

Increasingly, this is addressed by inviting service users to take part in the education and training of healthcare students and staff through a variety of ways¹. So we were very excited when we had the idea of getting our students to communicate online with people who had personal experience of mental health problems and services.

We knew a lot of people, including service users, used online discussion and message boards to discuss things, but could we make it work as part of an educational project? As far as we could find out, this had not been tried before, so we couldn't nick anyone else's ideas.

A small group of us, including a service user lecturer, created a restricted-access project site complete with sample discussion threads on the university's website and put together some publicity materials before making contact with local user groups.

After giving presentations at three mental health day centres, we recruited 12 users keen to take part. About half of them already used the internet, the others were new to computers and emails but training, support and payment were all part of the deal. Around the same time we invited a group of 34 second year mental health nursing students to take part and all agreed.

As we intended to evaluate the project, everyone was given printed information and provided written consent to take part. Information about the amount of time spent online, and the number of email messages read and sent was automatically recorded. Ten of the 12 users (83%) and 13 of the 34 (38%) students (selected by age, gender, ethnicity and level of participation), were interviewed by an independent researcher at the end of the project.

Once everyone had been trained and knew how to use the discussion forum, the students sent email questions to the service users on six topics linked to a scenario they had been given. The users were encouraged to respond to the questions and it was hoped that spontaneous discussions would develop between and amongst the participants. The students also had to use traditional library resources to research their subjects.

All contributions were monitored by the project team, who very occasionally intervened to encourage participation. After six weeks, the users were invited to attend presentations by the students on what they had learnt.

The project was an overall success with all students and service users interviewed overwhelmingly positive about the online discussion forum. They valued the discussions, would happily take part in a similar project again and would recommend it to others. They supported increased use of online discussions in healthcare education and had suggestions for topics that could be covered.

Students described the contributions of the users as 'responsive', 'brilliant', 'excellent', 'fantastic' and 'effective'. Their learning had clearly been influenced to some extent in that four of the six groups of students drew on the discussions in their presentations, with two groups specifically focusing their work on the online interactions. They found that the online forum gave them an insight into users' experiences, improved their communication skills and provided motivation to work on psychiatric wards.

Above all they had acquired an understanding of the users' experiences of admission to hospital and about the feelings aroused by being spoken to or interacted with in a particular way.

I think just an idea of, just an impression of how it is to be on a psychiatric ward, that's mainly what kind of things I asked about ... how they found the atmosphere. What were their fears and what they found to be... the most worrying aspects of being in hospital. (Student).

Others identified hearing first hand experiences of the impact of mental illness and distress and reflected on how this could influence their clinical practice.

I've learnt that maybe in future when I go on my placements, to put some of the things I've learnt on board ... communication skills or during admission... that kind of a thing, so these are the kind of things I've learnt and I try as much as possible to implement in practice and improve more. (Student).

Over half the students (58.8%) logged on and read messages from users and fellow students. However, it became clear that there was a 'visible' group of 15 (44%) contributing to discussions, with 14 (41%) playing no active part online, despite using the technology during the practice weeks. The tendency not to post emails reflects the common experience of any online discussion forum, where 'passive' membership ('lurking') often outweighs active participation, but it also reflected concerns expressed during the study that students lacked confidence in communication.

In contrast, the majority of the service users played an active role online. On average they sent more messages than the students and were more confident in using computer technology than most students. They were keen to take a leading role in the online community and valued contributing to the education of future nurses and of challenging stereotypical and stigmatising views of people with mental health problems. They also learnt things themselves from taking

part in the discussions and developing open dialogue with the students and each other.

The users were generally positive about the input of the students and thought they asked some good questions, but would have appreciated more discussion on a range of other topics. Others expressed frustration with the limited way in which students asked questions and failed to follow-up responses, although they also cited positive examples.

But then you had some who were really good, like the one about being admitted onto an acute ward and your experiences and I said about mine and someone wrote saying well how could it have been better, what would you have liked to have happened, which I thought was really positive. (User)

There was an awareness that some of the students were hesitant about probing too far, which had led some users to offer encouragement.

I know there was one or two students that felt they weren't confident enough to ask us, or they didn't want to cause us any offence and we emailed back, don't worry about it cos like we've had worse... I can understand where they were coming from but I think we're made of tougher stuff than that, yeah? (User).

Users spoke of gaining confidence in using computers. Being valued and taken seriously was in itself rewarding and empowering, but above all was a hope that they might be contributing towards positive change in the attitudes of staff and the delivery of mental health services.

Some of the discussions were very emotive for the users but they were able to positively reframe their survival of difficult life experiences. Several explained how they had benefited from talking about their experiences online as the distance and anonymity afforded by the forum was an advantage, perhaps suggesting the therapeutic potential of online communities.

The use of an online discussion forum involving mental health service users and students is both possible and highly desirable. Online interactions enabled users to discuss their previous experiences, explain the impact of their care and treatment and encouraged students to consider and reflect on the implications for their own practice.

There is considerable scope to develop similar initiatives across health and social care education and it is clear that service users could and should be at the forefront of that development. Future joint projects between service users and educational researchers might attempt to analyse the impact of online discussions on attitudes and healthcare behaviours in the workplace, because that, of course, is where it really matters.

ⁱ Simpson, A. (2006) Involving service users and carers in the education of mental health nurses. *Mental Health Practice*, 10 (4), 20-24.