



City Research Online

City St George's, University of London

Citation: Haber, N., Parmar, D., Pitchforth, E., Thomson, S., Ghosh, S. & Mladovsky, P. (2013). Private health insurance market in India: current trends and policy implications. Paper presented at the Health Systems in Asia 2013, 13-16 Dec 2013, Singapore.

This is the accepted version of the paper.

This version of the publication may differ from the final published version. To cite this item please consult the publisher's version.

Permanent repository link: <https://city-ref-test.eprints-hosting.org/id/eprint/5401/>

Copyright and Reuse: Copyright and Moral Rights remain with the author(s) and/or copyright holders. Copies of full items can be used for personal research or study, educational, or not-for-profit purposes without prior permission or charge, unless otherwise indicated, provided that the authors, title and full bibliographic details are credited, a hyperlink and/or URL is given for the original metadata page and the content is not changed in any way. For full details of reuse please refer to [City Research Online policy](#).

THE PRIVATE HEALTH INSURANCE MARKET IN INDIA

Current evidence and policy implications

Noah Haber, **Divya Parmar**, Emma Pitchforth, Sarah Thomson,
Soumitra Ghosh, Philipa Mlaovsky



THE LONDON SCHOOL
OF ECONOMICS AND
POLITICAL SCIENCE



BACKGROUND

- Out-of-pocket payments: 86% of private health expenditure
- Prior 1999: nationalised insurance market (life and non-life)
 - Mediclaim (hospitalisations): started in 1986 and 3.5 million covered by 1998/99
- Insurance Regulatory and Development Authority (IRDA) Act 1999 opened the insurance market to the pvt. and foreign firms.
- Health insurance market in India is rapidly increasing
 - Growing at a rate of 25% per year (USAID 2010)
- Government sponsored PHI
 - Rashtriya Swasthya Bima Yojana (RSBY), Rajiv Aarogyasro (AP), Kalaighar (TN)...


METHODOLOGY

Literature review

- PubMed, Web of Science
 - Economic & Political Weekly (EPW) journal
 - Grey literature: regulatory agencies, PHI firms, international organisations
- Search terms
 - Private*, voluntary, insur*, Region (India, all States), Language (English), date (March 2012)

INCLUSION	EXCLUSION
• Private health insurance (for profit) • India • March 2012 • English	• Disease-specific • Not-for profit voluntary health insurance (CHI)

LITERATURE REVIEW



RESULTS

- Regulation
- Benefit packages
- PHI market
- Premiums
- Profits

REGULATION


- Insurance Regulatory and Development Authority (IRDA)
 - Regulation
 - Development
- Third Party Administrators (TPAs)
 - Emerged in 1996 and since 2002 come under the IRDA
 - Back office services like claims management and enrolment
 - ... benefit package designs, pricing, provider network management
 - 29 IRDA-licensed TPAs
- Portability and standardisation of insurance packages

BENEFIT PACKAGE

- Mostly based on Medicaclaim
 - Hospitalisations
 - Excluding pre-existing diseases
 - Preventive treatments e.g. vaccinations
 - AIDS
 - Maternity
 - 5-85 years (after 45 years need a medical check-up)
- Coverage for critical illnesses e.g. cancer, diabetes
- RSBY
 - Hospitalisations up to Rs 30,000 (\$ 483)
 - Cover pre-existing diseases
 - No age limit

PHI MARKET


Ownership, 2010-11



- 21 firms offering PHI
 - 3 privately owned provide only health insurance
- Market share
 - Privately owned: 2% to 24% from 2001/2 to 2005/6
 - 2002 tax subsidy
 - 219 million persons enrolled in 2010-11


PREMIUMS

- \$2.5 billion in premium revenue in 2010/11
- Average premium \$11 per person per year



PROFITS

- Overall, health insurance is provided at a loss
 - Publicly owned: loss
 - Privately owned: profit



CONCLUSIONS

- PHI publicly owned market is large but making an loss
- Historical pricing, cross-subsidisation and poor management seem to contribute to insurers absorbing losses (public-owned)
- Poor incentives to improve quality of care
- No evidence on impact on financial protection
 - Limited coverage
 - OOP – drugs 45% and out-of-patient care 30%
- Further evidence / quality of data

Thank you

Divya Parmar
London School of Economics
D.Parmar1@lse.ac.uk