



City Research Online

City St George's, University of London

Citation: Morgan, S., Hurt, C. S. & Craig, G. M. (2015). Parental decision making and gastrostomy: Professional's awareness of conflict and strategies for support. Poster presented at the European Academy of Childhood Disability Conference 2014, 3-5 Jul 2014, Vienna, Austria.

This is the other version of the paper.

This version of the publication may differ from the final published version. To cite this item please consult the publisher's version.

Permanent repository link: <https://city-ref-test.eprints-hosting.org/id/eprint/14197/>

Copyright and Reuse: Copyright and Moral Rights remain with the author(s) and/or copyright holders. Copies of full items can be used for personal research or study, educational, or not-for-profit purposes without prior permission or charge, unless otherwise indicated, provided that the authors, title and full bibliographic details are credited, a hyperlink and/or URL is given for the original metadata page and the content is not changed in any way. For full details of reuse please refer to [City Research Online policy](#).

Parental decision making and gastrostomy: professionals' awareness of conflict and strategies for support

Sally Morgan (MSc), Dr. Catherine Hurt, Dr. Gillian Craig
City University London

Sally.morgan.2@city.ac.uk Gill.craig.1@city.ac.uk

Introduction

- Children with Cerebral Palsy experience difficulties eating and drinking¹ with implications for their health, growth and development.
- A gastrostomy feeding tube (GFT) is usually recommended but can meet with parental opposition^{2,3}.
- A recent systematic qualitative synthesis of the research on parental experiences of decision making described a 'conceptual framework of decisional conflict'⁴ whereby Health Care Professionals (HCPs) are deemed to operate within a biomedical sphere.
- This framework highlights three domains where conflict may arise:
Values e.g. meaning of food
Context e.g. family culture
Process e.g. information sharing and support.
- Few studies have explored HCPs' views or practices in relation to this framework.

Aims: To

- Explore the experience, perceptions and practices of community HCPs in supporting families to decide about a GFT for their child.
- Determine whether HCPs have an awareness of the values that parents hold and the strategies they use to support families.
- Determine whether Mahant et al.'s framework reflects HCP practice in a community sample.

Participants


- 10 community HCPs recruited from a special schools service in one London NHS trust. Recruitment was through multi-disciplinary team meetings and individual follow-up emails with written information.
- The catchment area of the service was characterised by high levels of social diversity.
- Participants of 3 professional groups were recruited. Dieticians (2), Speech and Language Therapists (5), Specialist School Nurses (3) with a range of clinical experience ranging from 1 to 15 years.

Methods

- Qualitative interviews lasting between 24 and 54 minutes were audio-recorded, transcribed and analysed.
- Inductive thematic⁵ (bottom-up) and deductive directed content analysis⁶ (top-down) were used drawing on Mahant et al.'s framework of decisional conflict.

Results

A. How do HCPs support gastrostomy decision making?



Five main themes were found. A three step process with two overarching themes.

- **Assessment of risk** – Followed clinical assessment e.g. weight monitoring, oral supplement use which led to a recommendation of GFT when the risk to safety of the child was sufficiently high.

..that risk to the child's health and safety. And we have a duty to ensure the child is kept as safe as possible

- **Parents' Decision** – HCPs described 'decisional conflict' following the recommendation of GFT. Re-assessment of risk continued providing supporting evidence of a child's need for GFT.

They will have said no at first. I think everyone initially, that's the initial reaction

There isn't an alternative anyway, they haven't got a choice

- **Positive Decision** – When parents accepted the GFT this was viewed as a positive decision by HCPs compared to the risk of oral or naso-gastric tube feeding.

I would happily have a hundred tubes in my stomach rather than one on my nose if it was my option

Two other themes impacted on the three step timeline.

- **Emotion** - Typically negative emotions dominated the decision making process for HCPs and families. Emotions were more 'positive' once the decision was made to accept GTF.

That's when I find it really hard....it's hard for us and the families

- **Multi-disciplinary Team** - Integral to all three steps with general agreement of roles and responsibilities of the different HCPs.

but I don't ever do it in isolation anyway, 'cause we work in a.... multi-disciplinary team

B. HCPs awareness of decisional conflict

- HCPs experienced the decisional conflict described in Mahant et al.'s model.
- The most frequently discussed area of decisional conflict revolved around the values of normalcy, food as nurture, feeding as a social process, concerns about surgery and fears about the loss of oral feeding.
- Although aware of the range of values that were important to families HCPs regarded the child's physical health and wellbeing as paramount thereby subordinating parental values.
- HCPs used a range of support strategies commonly recommended in the literature^{4,7} but still experienced the decision making process as difficult and characterised by conflict.
- HCPs approach to support was one of persuading families to make the 'right' decision which was to have a GFT⁸.

Conclusions

- This sample of HCPs viewed gastrostomy positively and as inevitable once recommended.
- That HCPs were using many of the current recommended strategies to support families and yet still described frequent decisional conflict requires further examination.
- Formal evidence based guidance on how best to support families is an area for development.

References

1. Arvedson JC. Feeding children with cerebral palsy and swallowing difficulties. European journal of clinical nutrition. 2013; 67 S9-S12.
2. Gantasala S, Sullivan PB, Thomas AG. Gastrostomy feeding versus oral feeding alone for children with cerebral palsy. Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews. 2013; Issue 7, Art. No.: CD003943. DOI: 10.1002/14651858.CD003943.pub3.
3. Craig GM, Scambler G, Spitz L. Why parents of children with neurodevelopmental disabilities requiring gastrostomy feeding need more support. Developmental Medicine & Child Neurology. 2003; 45(3):183-188
4. Mahant S, Jovcevska V, Cohen E. Decision-making around gastrostomy-feeding in children with neurologic disabilities. Pediatrics. 2011;127(6):e1471-e1481
5. Braun V, Clarke C. Using thematic analysis in psychology. Qualitative research in psychology. 2006; 3:2 77-101
6. Hsieh HF, Shannon S. Three approaches to qualitative analysis. Qualitative Health Research. 2005; 15:9 1277-1288
7. Craig GM. Psychosocial aspects of feeding children with neurodisability European journal of clinical nutrition. 2013; 67 S17-20
8. Leask J. How do general practitioners persuade parents to vaccinate their children? A study using standardised scenarios. New South Wales public health bulletin. 2009;20(8):119-124.

Ethics

- Ethical approval was granted by City University London Psychology Department Research & Ethics Committee.
- Research & Development approval was granted by the local NHS Trust.

Acknowledgements

- Transcription costs were funded by the London Paediatric Dysphagia Clinical Excellence Network.
- This project was completed in partial fulfilment of the requirements for the degree of MSc Psychology & Health, City University London.

CONTACT: Sally Morgan/ Dr Gill Craig
City University London
School of Health Sciences
Northampton Square
London EC1V 0HB www.city.ac.uk